

## Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi

<sup>1</sup>Faisal Reza\*, <sup>2</sup>Rochim Bakti Cahyono, <sup>3</sup>Benidiktus Tulung Prayoga, <sup>4</sup>Muhammad Arrofiq

<sup>1</sup>Master of Applied Occupational Safety and Health Study Program, Faculty of Vocational Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia\*; email:

[faisalreza1978@mail.ugm.ac.id](mailto:faisalreza1978@mail.ugm.ac.id)

<sup>2</sup>Departemen of Health Service and Information, Vocational School, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia; email: [rochimbakti@ugm.ac.id](mailto:rochimbakti@ugm.ac.id)

<sup>3</sup>Departemen of Health Service and Information, Vocational School, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Departemen of Health Service and Information, Vocational School, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia

\*Correspondence

### Article Information

Submitted: 15 April 2026

Accepted: 23 April 2026

Publish: 28 April 2026

**Keyword:** Safety Culture; Mining Industry; Nickel; Safety Maturity Level; SMKp;

**Copyright holder:** Faisal Reza, Rochim Bakti Cahyono, Benidiktus Tulung Prayoga, Muhammad Arrofiq

**Year:** 2026

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



### Abstract

**Introduction:** The nickel mining industry has high operational risks, thus requiring a mature safety management system. **Objective:** This study aims to analyze the Safety Maturity Level (SML) in nickel mineral mining operations at PT XYZ based on the parameters in the Decree of the Director General of Mineral and Coal No. 10.K/MB.01/DJB.T/2023. This evaluation is important to identify standard deviations and formulate a program for continuous improvement of safety culture. **Methods:** This study uses a qualitative and quantitative research design (mixed methods) by assessing four main indicators: worker participation, unit leader responsibility, accident statistical analysis, and risk control efforts. The research instruments include an assessment rubric according to ESDM regulations, in-depth interviews, field observations, and Focus Group Discussions (FGD). **Results and Discussion:** PT XYZ achieved a total safety performance score of 0.65 out of 1.00, placing the company at the "Reactive" level. Safety is still regarded as an administrative formality rather than an internalized work culture. **Conclusion:** To advance toward the "Proactive" level, strategic programs are proposed, including optimization of the "SLAMET" digital application, Management Walk Thru, and Advanced Data Analytics for accident investigation

How to Cite

Faisal Reza, Rochim Bakti Cahyono, Benidiktus Tulung Prayoga, Muhammad Arrofiq/Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi/Vol. 5, No. 7, 2026

DOI  
e-ISSN/p-ISSN  
Published by

<https://doi.org/10.54543/kesans.v5i7.627>  
2808-7178 / 2808-7380

CV. Rifainstitut/KESANS: International Journal of Health and Science

## **Introduction**

The nickel mining industry in Indonesia is a high-risk sector essential for national economic development. Ensuring occupational and operational safety is not only a regulatory imperative—governed by frameworks such as Ministerial Regulation No. 26/2018 and Ministerial Decree No. 1827K/30/MEM/2018—but a fundamental requirement for sustainable mining operations (Dihartawan et al., 2024).

Despite these mandates, a significant gap exists between regulatory standards and operational realities. Internal audits across several nickel mining entities revealed a safety compliance rate of merely 36.1% in 2024, falling well short of the 60% requirement. This shortfall is primarily attributed to deficiencies in planning and execution, particularly weak adherence to procedures and inadequate safety training (Wardani et al., 2022; Rondonuwu Wiliam et al., 2021). Such data suggests that current safety management systems are often treated as administrative checkboxes rather than integrated business processes.

To bridge this operational divide, organizations must strive for a mature safety culture. Modern business philosophy emphasizes that high-risk industries must target a "generative" safety level, where proactive risk management replaces reactive correction (Salasa Hasan MA et al., 2024). As mandated by the Director General's Decree No. 10.K/MB.01/DJB.T/2023, formal Safety Maturity Level (SML) assessments serve as the primary diagnostic tool to identify performance deviations and drive continuous improvement (Amirudin et al., 2024).

This study addresses the critical implementation gap at PT XYZ, which has yet to formalize its SML assessment despite its operational mandate. Unlike existing literature, which frequently offers only descriptive maturity metrics, this research adopts a dual approach: it evaluates the current safety culture and diagnoses the underlying causes of low performance. By integrating these findings, this study provides actionable, systematic solutions for enhancing safety performance, offering unique insights into safety management within the Indonesian nickel mining sector.

## **Method**

This study uses a mixed methods approach that combines qualitative and quantitative descriptive analysis to obtain a comprehensive picture of the level of achievement of mining safety performance (safety maturity level) at PT XYZ. The qualitative approach was used to in-depth explore perceptions, attitudes, field observations regarding OHS implementation practices, as well as inhibiting and driving factors for SMKP implementation through in-depth interviews and document analysis. Meanwhile, a quantitative approach was applied to describe and analyze the results of safety performance measurements using an assessment instrument based on the Decree of the Director General of Energy and Mineral Resources No. 10.K/MB.01/DJB.T/2023. The study was conducted at a nickel mine in North Morowali Regency. The sample and population in this study.

The population is the entirety or subject of the research being conducted. This population is all employees of PT XYZ and its partners at the site, totaling 402 employees. The sample is a subset of the population. The sample requirement is calculated using the

**Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi**

Slovin sampling formula:

$$n = N / (1 + (N \times e \times e))$$

$$n = 402 / (1 + (402 \times 0.05 \times 0.05))$$

$$n = 402 / (1 + (402 \times 0.0025))$$

$$n = 402 / (1 + (3.09)) = 199 \sim 200 \text{ people}$$

Based on the sample calculation above, the sample size for this study is 200 employees.

n = Minimum sample size required

N = Population Size

e = Margin of error (e = 5%)

below you can see the sample distribution

**Table 1**

Distribution of research samples

| Level  | Position                                     | Total | Sample (n) |
|--------|--|-------|------------|
| Top    | KTT, PM, PJO                                 | 3     | 1          |
|        | Dept Head/ <b>Superintendent</b> /Supervisor | 9     | 4          |
| Middle | Group Leader/Foreman                         | 84    | 42         |
|        | Staff  | 27    | 13         |
| Low    | Operator                                     | 61    | 30         |
|        | Driver                                       | 86    | 43         |
|        | Crew   | 84    | 42         |
|        | Admin  | 33    | 16         |
|        | Maintenance Crew                             | 15    | 7          |
|        |  | 402   | 200        |

### **Ethical Approval**

On March 5, 2026, the Ethics Committee of the Directorate of Research and Community Service, Yogyakarta State University, approved this study (No. 279/DST/UN34.9/PT.01/04/2026) in accordance with ethical guidelines for health research. All participants provided written informed consent prior to enrollment. Confidentiality of participant information was ensured through anonymous data coding and restricted access to research files. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences to their employment or access to healthcare

### **Result and Discussion**

#### **1. Result**

##### **Mining Worker Participation Variable**

Based on research data, the Mine Worker Participation variable shows a maturity level in the "Planned" category with a total achievement value of 0.10 out of a maximum value of 0.15. This result is obtained from the accumulation of two main parameters, where the Worker Involvement in Mining Safety Management parameter (1.2) provides the largest contribution with an achievement of 0.07, while the Individual Concern and Behavior parameter towards Risk (1.1) contributes 0.03. This "Planned" status indicates that although the worker participation program has been formally structured and implemented within the organization, there is still room for development to optimize individual behavior and the effectiveness of worker involvement to achieve a higher level

**Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi**

of maturity. The following table shows the distribution of the measurement and assessment results of the mine worker participation variable:

**Table 2**

Distribution of Measurement and Assessment Results of Mining Worker Participation at PT XYZ Company

| Indicator                    | Parameter                                      | Max Value   | Achievement Value | Achievement Category |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Mining Worker Participation  | Mining Safety awareness and behavior           | 0.05        | 0.03              | Planned              |
|                              | Worket Involvement in mining safety management | 0.10        | 0.07              |                      |
| <b>Total Indicator value</b> |  | <b>0.15</b> | <b>0.10</b>       |                      |

**Work Unit Leader Accountability Variable**

Based on the results of the research on the safety maturity level, the Work Unit Leader Responsibility variable is categorized as "Reactive" with a total achievement value of 0.23 out of a maximum value of 0.35. This achievement is dominated by the leadership and commitment parameters which achieved the highest score of 0.04, but is held back by the low compliance and enforcement parameters which only achieved a value of 0.02. This "Reactive" condition indicates that work unit leaders tend to only take action or supervision after a safety problem occurs, so that a more consistent transformation of leadership strategies is needed—especially in terms of rule enforcement—to encourage a change in safety culture from merely fulfilling obligations to proactive responsibility across all lines of the organization. Below you can see the distribution table of the results of the assessment and measurement of unit leader responsibility as follows.

**Table 3**

Distribution of Measurement Results and Assessment of Work Unit Leaders' Responsibilities at Mining Company PT XYZ

| Indicator                           | Parameter  | Max value | Achievement Value | Category Reach |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
| Accountability of Work Unit Leaders | Implementation of Safety policy at Operational level                   | 0.05      | 0.03              | Reactive       |
|                                     | Exemplary management and dedication to OHS                             | 0.05      | .04               |                |
|                                     | Integrity and enforcement of safety regulations                        | 0.04      | 0.02              |                |
|                                     | Clarity of safety roles, functions, and authorities                    | 0.04      | 0.03              |                |
|                                     | Strategic planning and safe operational execution                      | 0.04      | 0.03              |                |
|                                     | Facilitation of OHS education, communication, and consultation space   | 0.04      | 0.03              |                |
|                                     | Monitoring safety quality in work processes                            | 0.04      | 0.03              |                |
|                                     | Verification of OHS standars through the SMKP internal Audit mechanism | 0.04      | 0.03              |                |
|                                     | <b>Total Indicator value</b>   |           | <b>0.35</b>       |                |

**Incident Data Governance Variable**

The measurement results for the Data and Incident Governance variable indicate a 'Reactive' classification with a score of 0.14 (out of a maximum of 0.20). This score distribution is based on incident data analysis and organizational learning (0.04), as well as case investigations and lagging indicators (0.03). These findings indicate that data management in the company remains focused on post-incident response, where data is more often used to meet accountability demands than to predict potential future hazards. A transformation in analysis methods is needed to enable the company to shift from a pattern of incident management to a planned mitigation strategy. The distribution table of assessment and measurement results for the governance and incident variables is below:

**Table 4**  
Distribution of Governance Measurement and Assessment Results and incidents

| Indicator                         | Parameter  | Max Value   | Achievement Value | Achievement Category |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Incident Data Governance Variable | Comprehensive evaluation of safety case statistical data           | 0.05        | 0.04              | Reactive             |
|                                   | Incident investigation process and corrective action and follow up | 0.05        | 0.03              |                      |
|                                   | Performance reporting based on lagging indicators                  | 0.05        | 0.03              |                      |
|                                   | Internalization of organizational learning (lessons learned)       | 0.05        | 0.04              |                      |
|                                   | <b>Total Indicator value</b>                                       | <b>0.20</b> | <b>0.14</b>       |                      |

**Mitigation control strategies implemented**

Based on the results of the mining safety maturity level research, the variable of Control Efforts Carried Out is categorized as "Reactive" with a total achievement value of 0.18 out of a maximum value of 0.30. This low achievement is due to many parameters that are not optimal, especially in emergency management which only achieved a value of 0.01 and mining service company management which showed the lowest value of 0.00. Although the risk control aspect based on governance and process design engineering management showed the highest score at 0.03, the overall reactive status indicates that the technical implementation of controls in the field is still sporadic and more focused on improvements after the emergence of problems, so that the integration of asset management, changes, and stricter partner supervision is needed to systematically increase the effectiveness of risk control. Below you can see the results of the assessment and measurement for the control efforts variables carried out by the Company as follows.

**Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi**

**Table 5**  
Distribution of Measurement Results and Assessment of Mitigation and Control Strategies at Mining Company PT XYZ

| <b>Indicator</b>                          | <b>Parameter</b>  | <b>value Max</b> | <b>Achievement value</b> | <b>Category Reach</b> |
|---|---|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mitigation Control Strategies Implemented | Safety risk management governance                         | 0.04             | 0.03                     | Reactive              |
|   | Management of occupational health aspects in mining       | 0.03             | 0.02                     |                       |
|   | Monitoring of operational work environmental conditions   | 0.03             | 0.02                     |                       |
|   | Management of technical design and process engineering    | 0.04             | 0.03                     |                       |
|   | Maintenance of safety assets and facilities               | 0.04             | 0.02                     |                       |
|   | Strengthening worker competence and reliability           | 0.03             | 0.02                     |                       |
|   | Change management system                                  | 0.04             | 0.02                     |                       |
|   | Emergency preparedness and handling                       | 0.03             | 0.01                     |                       |
|   | Supervision of supporting service companies (contractors) | 0.01             | 0.00                     |                       |
|   | Administration of safety document and archives            | 0.01             | 0.01                     |                       |
| <b>Total Indicator value</b>              |   | <b>0.35</b>      | <b>0.23</b>              |                       |

**Overall Results of achievement for safety maturity Level Measurement and Assessment of PT XYZ**

From the results of the assessment and measurement of the overall safety maturity level at PT XYZ Company from 4 variables, it shows that for the participation of mining workers in planned achievements, the variable accountability of the unit leader for reactive achievements, the variable analysis and statistics of accidents, PAK, KAPTK for reactive achievements and mitigation and control strategies for reactive achievements, in cumulative calculations of all the variables, the level of maturity of the mining safety culture at PT XYZ is at the "Reactive" level.

**Table 6**  
Distribution of Overall Measurement and Assessment Results Safety Maturity Level of Nickel Mining Company PT XYZ

| <b>Indicator</b>                          | <b>Value Max</b> | <b>Achievement value</b> | <b>Achievement Category</b> |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mining Worker Participation               | 0.15             | 0.10                     | Planned                     |
| Work Unit Leader Accountability           | 0.35             | 0.23                     | Reactive                    |
| Incident Data Governance                  | 0.20             | 0.14                     | Reactive                    |
| Mitigation control strategies implemented | 0.30             | 0.18                     | Reactive                    |
| <b>Total Achievement Value</b>            | <b>1.00</b>      | <b>0.65</b>              | <b>Reactive</b>             |

## **2. Discussion**

### **Mining Worker Participation Variable**

The assessment of mine worker participation at PT XYZ yielded a score of 0.10/0.15, categorizing it as "Planned." While a formal system exists, qualitative field data reveals that participation remains largely reactive and administrative. Interviews indicate that workers predominantly report hazards only under direct supervisory instruction. This suggests that safety behavior is perceived as a formal obligation rather than an intrinsic value. This "top-down" dependency creates a communication barrier that prevents the transition to a "Proactive" stage. These findings mirror challenges in the Central Sulawesi nickel industry, where a persistent gap exists between documented management systems and actual safety practices (Salasa Hasan et al., 2022). To bridge this gap, the organization must shift from administrative compliance to behavior-based safety programs that foster individual ownership.

### **Accountability of Work Unit Leaders Variable**

Leadership accountability scored 0.23/0.35, placing it in the "Reactive" category. Qualitative findings highlight a critical systemic flaw: a "safety-centric" perception where operational leaders view safety as the exclusive domain of the HSE department. Field observations show that management site visits are heavily weighted toward production tonnage rather than risk control verification. This production-heavy bias positions safety as an operational obstacle rather than a business necessity. Without a transformation into "Safety Leadership," where leaders proactively balance production targets with risk dynamics, the company remains vulnerable to high-frequency incidents (Simorangkir et al., 2026).

### **Data and Incident Governance Variable**

Data governance recorded low scores (0.03–0.04), reflecting a "Reactive" maturity level. Critically, investigations often stop at identifying "human error" without addressing systemic management failures. Field data also shows a lack of integration between the medical clinic and the safety department, hindering the early detection of occupational diseases. This indicates that PT XYZ uses data for administrative reporting to regulators rather than for organizational learning. To evolve, the company must transition from relying on lagging indicators (post-accident data) to analyzing leading indicators (unsafe acts and near-miss trends) to predict and prevent future failures.

### **Mitigation Control Strategies Variable**

Strategic mitigation achieved 0.18/0.30 ("Reactive"). Quantitative data is validated by field observations showing an over-reliance on Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and administrative signs, while higher-level engineering controls remain underutilized. Furthermore, documents such as Job Safety Analysis (JSA) are frequently treated as "pre-work formalities" rather than active safety guides. This reliance on superficial control methods confirms a lack of technical depth in risk management, a common trait in reactive organizations that fail to address the root causes of operational hazards (Amirudin et al., 2024).

### **Synthesis of Safety Maturity Level and Strategic Recommendations**

With a cumulative score of 0.65/1.00, PT XYZ's overall safety maturity is "Reactive." The organization is currently trapped in a cycle of responding to incidents rather than preventing them. To transition toward a "Proactive" or "Resilient" state, the study proposes a targeted "Safety Accountability Program" (SAP).

Rather than focusing solely on digital tools, the transformation must prioritize Safety Leadership Coaching to realign managerial priorities and Engineering Reliability Reviews to strengthen technical defenses. Digitalization, such as the "SLAMET" application, should function only as a facilitator for transparency and real-time reporting, not a standalone solution. The integration of the "4 Pillars of Occupational Health" and cross-departmental "Incident Learning Systems" is essential to ensure that every failure is converted into systemic improvement. This integrated approach aligns with the mandate of Decree No. 10 of 2023, moving beyond compliance toward a sustainable and mature safety culture

### **Conclusion**

This study concludes that PT XYZ remains at the "Reactive" safety maturity level, reflecting a substantial gap between regulatory requirements and actual field implementation, where OHS practices are still dominated by administrative compliance and post-incident response. The lowest-performing elements were unit leadership responsibility and accident statistical analysis, while worker participation showed slightly better performance yet remained dependent on superior instruction rather than independent awareness.

These findings are further reinforced by a safety-centric organizational culture that confines OHS responsibility solely to the HSE department, compounded by production target pressures that undermine consistent risk control and accident investigations that fail to address systemic root causes. Transforming toward a proactive safety culture therefore requires not only technical interventions but also a fundamental shift in organizational values and leadership commitment across all levels of management.

### References

- Amirudin, A., Sukwika, T., & Ramli, S. (2024). Analysis of performance and safety risks in the nickel mining sector. *Indonesian Journal of Global Health Research*, 6(2), 723-734. <https://doi.org/10.37287/ijghr.v6i2.2791>
- Arjang, A., Ausat, A. M. A., & Prasetya, Y. B. (2025). Optimalisasi sistem informasi dalam meningkatkan daya saing UMKM: Analisis sinergi inovasi digital dan fenomena FOMO dalam dinamika pasar. *Jurnal Minfo Polgan*, 14(1), 68-76. <https://doi.org/10.33395/jmp.v14i1.14629>
- Aura Fariza Yulianti Saputri, Zahwa Rahmanda Aulya, Angel Caroline, & Laura Aulia Rosaline. (2024). Implementasi Keselamatan Kerja di Pertambangan melalui Penerapan Sistem Manajemen K3 Berbasis ISO 45001. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Public Health*, 2(3), 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.55606/innovation.v2i3.2912>
- Dewi, N. D., & Sani, M. A. (2025). Digitalisasi Manajemen Keuangan sebagai Strategi Transformasi Bisnis di Era Ekonomi Digital. *Journal of Islamic Business Management Studies (JIBMS)*, 6(1), 53-58.
- Dihartawan, D., Rizky, P. R., & Ernyasih, E. (2024). Gambaran Proses Audit Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan (SMKP) di PT “X” Tahun 2022. *Environmental Occupational Health and Safety Journal*, 4(2), 54. <https://doi.org/10.24853/eohjs.4.2.54-62>
- Djamil, N. (2022). Developing An Auditing Interactive Electronic Textbook with Google Slide and Quizizz. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 14(2), 1903–1918. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.1711>
- Fatimah Nurul R. (2022). *TRANSFORMASI DIGITAL AUDIT INTERNAL Ranti*.
- Handayani, H. (2025). The role of digital communication tools in increasing occupational health and safety awareness. *Jurnal Konseling Dan Pendidikan*, 13(2), 316–325. <https://doi.org/10.29210/1146200>
- Hasan Salasa, M. A., Sumitro, E. S., Alfianto, A., Anam, K., & Pramono, A. Y. (2024). Penilaian Kinerja Keselamatan Pertambangan di PT Putra Perkasa Abadi: Dampak Implementasi Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan (SMKP) terhadap Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja. *Journal of Social & Technology/Jurnal Sosial dan Teknologi (SOSTECH)*, 4(11).
- Jehan, M., Kamal, N., Lubis, M. R., & Putra, T. A. R. (2024). Kajian Hasil Implementasi Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan Mineral dan Batubara (SMKP Minerba) melalui Audit Eksternal di PT Saptaindra Sejati oleh PT Adaro Indonesia. *Journal of Geosciences, Mining Engineering, and Technology*, 8(2), 41-49.
- Junius, M., Salat, J., & Khalid, Z. (2023). Pengembangan Aplikasi Audit Mutu Internal Universitas Jabal Ghafur Berbasis Web Development of a Web-Based Internal Quality Audit Application for Jabal Ghafur University (Vol. 4, Issue 3). <https://journal.lp2stm.or.id/index.php/TEKSAGRO/article/view/76>
- Kementerian ESDM (2018). Keputusan Menteri ESDM No. 1827 K/37/04/DJB/2018 Tentang Pedoman Pelaksanaan Kaidah Teknik Pertambangan Yang Baik Lampiran IV

Faisal Reza, Rochim Bakti Cahyono, Benidiktus Tulung Prayoga, Muhammad Arrofiq/KESANS

**Safety Maturity Level Improvement Program At PT XYZ A Mineral (Nickel) Mining Company In Central Sulawesi**

- Kementerian ESDM (2019). Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Mineral dan Batubara No. 185 K/37.04/DJB/2019 Tentang Petunjuk Teknis Pelaksanaan Keselamatan Pertambangan dan Pelaporan SMKPL Lampiran I dan II
- Kementerian ESDM (2023). Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Mineral dan Batubara No.10.K/MB.01/DJB.T/2023 Tentang Petunjuk Teknik Penilaian Tingkat Pencapaian Kinerja Keselamatan Pertambangan
- Koerniawati, D. (2021). *THE REMOTE AND AGILE AUDITING: A FRAUD PREVENTION EFFORT TO NAVIGATE THE AUDIT PROCESS IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC*. [www.jraba.org](http://www.jraba.org)
- Murati, F., Rianti, T., Ayu Ningsih, S., Marune Simanullang, O. R., Shinta Nuriyah, H., Prihel Sinuhaji, D., & Sofika, N. (2023). *Audit Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan (Smkp) Mineral Dan Batubara (Audit Of Mineral And Coal Mining Safety Management System (SMKP))*: Vol.
- Nalurita Dewantari, A., Timoris Prasajo, D., Abdur Rahman, M., Andi Farhan, M., & Puspitasari Program Studi D-IV Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja Politeknik Ketenagakerjaan, R. (2024). *PROJECT BASED LEARNING: SISTEM MANAJEMEN KESELAMATAN PERTAMBANGAN*. 1(1), 70–78. <https://doi.org/10.572349/inspirasi.v2i1.36>
- Nirwana, E., & Permana, D. (2025). Transformasi Digital dalam Praktik Auditing: Tantangan, Peluang, dan Implikasi terhadap Kualitas Audit di Era Big data. *Jurnal Riset Rumpun Ilmu Ekonomi*, 4(1), 264–278. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jurrie.v4i1.4970>
- Pizzi, S., Venturelli, A., Variale, M., & Macario, G. P. (2021). Assessing the impacts of digital transformation on internal auditing: A bibliometric analysis. *Technology in Society*, 67, 101738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101738>
- Purbasari, R., Jamil, N., Novel, A., & Kostini, N. (2023). *Digitalisasi Logistik Dalam Mendukung Kinerja E-Logistic Di Era Digital: A Literature Review Logistic Digitalization in Support of E-Logistics Performance in the Digital Era: A Literature Review*. In *Management, Business and Logistics (JOMBLO)* (Vol. 01, Issue 02).
- Ratnaning, S., Toho, T., Mutohir, C., & Roesminingsih, E. (2022). *PENGEMBANGAN APLIKASI AUDIT SARANA DAN PRASARANA*. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jdmp.v7n1.p48-58>
- Rianti, L., Sari, M. P., & Putri, W. A. (2024). *Evaluation of Implementation of Mining Safety Management System at PT Putra Muba Coal Sungai Lilin District Musi Banyuasin Regency South Sumatera Province* (pp. 499–512). [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-386-3\\_51](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-386-3_51)
- Rizka Khoirotun Nisaa, Salsabila Maulidya Supriadi Bahrim, & Irda Agustin Kustiwi. (2024). Teknologi Digital Dan Transformasi Internal Audit Terhadap Perlakuan Laporan Keuangan: Studi Literatur. *Jurnal Mutiara Ilmu Akuntansi*, 2(2), 263–277. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jumia.v2i2.2596>
- Simorangkir, D. A., & Modjo, R. (2026). Safety and Health Maturity Levels as Indicators of Accident Reduction in Indonesia's Nickel Mining Sector. *F1000Research*, 15, 13. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.175381.1>
- Sinaga Rusandi B. (2019). *Penggunaan Aplikasi I Safe Dalam Penerap*.
- Siregar, R. H., & Albina, M. (2025). *Menjelaskan cara menganalisis data dalam penelitian pendidikan*. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)*, 3(6).

- Suherry, K. (2023). [Analisis Penerapan Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja Karyawan di Pertambangan](#). *ARRAZI: Scientific Journal of Health*, 1(1), 63-73.
- Susanto, A., Hania, S., Wijoyo, N. J., Kurniawan, W., Wiyarta, W., Budi, S. C., Pane, Y., & Prasetio, D. B. (2025). Implementasi Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan Di Sektor Minerba Indonesia: Tinjauan Literatur Sistematis. *Jurnal Kesehatan*, 18(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.32763/yzppp082>
- Suwandi, E. D., & Syarifudin, A. (2025a). Dampak Transformasi Digital Terhadap Audit Intern, Manajemen Risiko, Dan Pengendalian: Tinjauan Literatur. *Jurnal Ekonomika Dan Bisnis (JEBS)*, 5(1), 178–187. <https://doi.org/10.47233/jebs.v5i1.2565>
- Timoty Agustian Berutu, Dina Lorena Rea Sigalingging, Gaby Kasih Valentine Simanjuntak, & Friska Siburian. (2024). Pengaruh Teknologi Digital terhadap Perkembangan Bisnis Modern. *Neptunus: Jurnal Ilmu Komputer Dan Teknologi Informasi*, 2(3), 358–370. <https://doi.org/10.61132/neptunus.v2i3.258>
- Tri, B., Putra, P., Yang, F., Kesadaran, M., Terhadap, P., Kecelakaan, P., Di, K., Kontruksi, B., Perkapalan, P., Surabaya, N., Hamid, I. M., Syamzidan, M., Politeknik, R., Negeri, P., Fu'ad, S., Politeknik, A., Denny, S., & Radianto, O. (2023). Politeknik Perkapalan Negeri Surabaya. *Journal of Student Research (JSR)*, 1(4), 207–214. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jsr.v1i4>
- Vyas, N., & Soni, K. (2017). *Audit Management System of Occupational Health and Safety in Mining* (Vol. 4). www.srjjs.com
- Wahyuni, D., Elsa Gita Bualemo, L., Akuntansi, P., & Muhammadiyah Makassar, U. (2025). AUDIT DIGITAL DALAM ERA INDUSTRI 4.0: TINJAUAN LITERATUR ATAS PELUANG. *Neraca Manajemen, Ekonomi*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.8734/mnmae.v1i2.359>
- Wardani, H. K., Nursanto, E., & Amri, N. A. (2022). [PENERAPAN SISTEM MANAJEMEN KESELAMATAN PERTAMBANGAN \(SMKP\) DI PERUSAHAAN PERTAMBANGAN GUNA MENINGKATKAN KINERJA KESELAMATAN OPERASI DAN KESEHATAN DAN KESELAMATAN KERJA](#). *Journal of Syntax Literate*, 7(4).
- Winanta, K. A., & Mohamad, M. A. (2023). [Evaluasi terhadap Elemen Implementasi dalam Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan Pertambangan pada Tambang Terbuka PT. Rolas Nusantara Tambang Kabupaten Pasuruan Provinsi Jawa Timur](#). *ReTHI*, 18(1), 97-106
- Wonoseto, M. G. (2023). [Pengembangan Aplikasi Audit Satuan Pengawasan Internal UIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta](#). *JISKA (Jurnal Informatika Sunan Kalijaga)*, 8(2), 164-174.